

## **FY2022 CoC Program Competition – Review and Rank Scoring Criteria**

The opportunity for submitting a Letter of Intent to apply for new bonus projects during the FY2022 CoC Program Competition has closed. As required in the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO, the Review and Rank Scoring Criteria for project applications, both new and renewal, have been publicly released. While the Scoring Criteria requirements for new and renewal projects differ, both were grounded in the policy priorities of the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO. The purpose of the Review and Rank Scoring Criteria is to assist an independent review committee in identifying whether new project applications meet minimal threshold standards for funding and ranking approved projects based on objective criteria.

**Northern Panhandle CoC: FY2022 Renewal Project Application Scoring Criteria**  
**Applicant: GWCH                      Subrecipient: None                      Project: Residential Housing**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	Point Scale	Points Received	Total Points
<b>Performance Measures</b>	<b>1. Permanent Housing Exit:</b> Did the project, in its most recently completed operating year, meet the established goal listed in the funded grant application of ensuring persons would exit the program to permanent housing, thus improving outcomes related to the system performance measures goal of increasing exits to permanent housing?	<b>35% – 45%+ = 30 pts</b> <b>25% – 34% = 20 pts</b> <b>15% – 24% = 10 pts</b> <b>0% – 14% = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 30	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Increased Income:</b> Did the project, in its most recently completed operating year, meet the established goal listed in the funded grant application of ensuring persons age 18+ would increase their income, thus improving outcomes related to the system performance measures goal of increasing employment and income growth?	<b>35% – 45%+ = 30 pts</b> <b>25% – 34% = 20 pts</b> <b>15% – 24% = 10 pts</b> <b>0% – 14% = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 20	XX out of 100
<b>HMIS Data Quality</b>	<b>1. Null/Missing Values:</b> Does the project have 10% or less in null or missing values?	<b>0 – 10% = 5 pts</b> <b>Above 11% = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 5	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Refused/Unknown Values:</b> Does the project have 10% or less in refused or unknown values?	<b>0 – 10% = 5 pts</b> <b>Above 11% = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 5	XX out of 100
<b>Experience of Applicant</b>	<b>1. Financial Stability:</b> Does the applicant provide evidence of their respective organization’s audit/financial review which documents their financial stability?	<b>Yes = 4pts</b> <b>No = 0pts</b>	XX out of 4	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Minimum Project Standards:</b> Does the project meet the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards?  <b>a.</b> Applicants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grants that are funded under the SHP, S+C, or CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if any), regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings;  <b>b.</b> For expansion projects, project applicants clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicant demonstrates that they are not replacing other funding sources; and  <b>c.</b> Project applicants must demonstrate they are able to meet all timelessness standards per 24 CFR 578.85	<b>Yes to all which are applicable = 10 pts</b> <b>Yes to 1/3 – 5 pts</b> <b>No to All = 0 pts</b>  <b>(If a question is not applicable to the applicant, but other questions are answered “Yes”, full points should be awarded</b>	XX out of 10	XX out of 100

Northern Panhandle CoC: FY2022 Renewal Project Application Scoring Criteria

Applicant: **GWCH**

Subrecipient: **None**

Project: **Residential Housing**

	<p><b>3. Matching Funds:</b> Does the applicant demonstrate secured and documented minimum match requirements?</p>	<p><b>Yes = 2 pts</b> <b>No = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 2</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
	<p><b>4. Capacity:</b> If the applicant has received CoC funding in the past, have they had funds de-obligated in the last 5 years?</p>	<p><b>No = 3 pts</b> <b>Yes = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 3</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
<b>Design of Housing and Supportive Services</b>	<p><b>1. Racial Equity:</b> Does the project application include a description where the applicant has identified barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population, and has taken steps to eliminate barriers to improve racial equity?</p>	<p><b>Yes = 7 pts</b> <b>No = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 7</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
	<p><b>2. Lived Expertise:</b> Does the project application include a description of how persons with lived expertise are included in project design and development?</p>	<p><b>No = 6 pts</b> <b>Yes = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 6</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
	<p><b>3. Housing First:</b> Does the project serve clients who have the following vulnerability and severity of needs that may prevent them from entering housing: - Having too little or little income - Active or history of substance abuse - Having a history of a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions - History of victimization</p>	<p><b>Yes = 4 pts (1pt for each vulnerability)</b></p>	<p>XX out of 4</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
	<p><b>3. Project Design:</b> Does the project application clearly articulate the program design proposed, including clear definition of eligibility for project applicants? Did the project include data generated from an HMIS that provides evidence of how the project will improve System Performance Measures?</p>	<p><b>Yes = 2 pts</b> <b>No = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 2</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>
	<p><b>4. Prioritization:</b> Does the project prioritize households with the longest time homeless and most severe service needs, as evidenced by active participation in the NPCoC Provider Committee meetings?</p>	<p><b>Yes = 2 pts</b> <b>No = 0 pts</b></p>	<p>XX out of 2</p>	<p>XX out of 100</p>

Northern Panhandle CoC: FY2022 Renewal Project Application Scoring Criteria

Applicant: **GWCH**

Subrecipient: **None**

Project: **Supportive Services Only**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	Point Scale	Points Received	Total Points
Performance Measures	<b>1. Permanent Housing Exit:</b> Did the project, in its most recently completed operating year, meet the established goal listed in the funded grant application of ensuring persons would exit the program to permanent housing, thus improving outcomes related to the system performance measures goal of increasing exits to permanent housing?	35% – 45+% = 30 pts 25% – 34% = 20 pts 15% – 24% = 10 pts 0% – 14% = 0 pts	XX out of 30	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Increased Income:</b> Did the project, in its most recently completed operating year, meet the established goal listed in the funded grant application of ensuring persons age 18+ would increase their income, thus improving outcomes related to the system performance measures goal of increasing employment and income growth?	25% – 33+% = 20 pts 19% – 24% = 15 pts 13% – 18% = 10 pts 7% – 12% = 5 pts 0% – 6% = 0 pts	XX out of 20	XX out of 100
HMIS Data Quality	<b>1. Null/Missing Values:</b> Does the project have 10% or less in null or missing values?	0 – 10% = 5 pts Above 11% = 0 pts	XX out of 5	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Refused/Unknown Values:</b> Does the project have 10% or less in refused or unknown values?	0 – 10% = 5 pts Above 11% = 0 pts	XX out of 5	XX out of 100
Experience of Applicant	<b>1. Financial Stability:</b> Does the applicant provide evidence of their respective organization’s audit/financial review which documents their financial stability?	Yes = 4pts No = 0pts	XX out of 4	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Minimum Project Standards:</b> Does the project meet the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards?  a. Applicants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grants that are funded under the CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if any), regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings;  b. For expansion projects, project applicants clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicant demonstrates that they are not replacing other funding sources; and  c. Project applicants must demonstrate they are able to meet all timelessness standards per 24 CFR 578.85	Yes to all which are applicable = 10 pts Yes to 1/3 – 5 pts No to All = 0 pts  (If a question is not applicable to the applicant, but other questions are answered “Yes”, full points should be awarded	XX out of 10	XX out of 100
	<b>3. Matching Funds:</b> Does the applicant demonstrate secured and documented minimum match requirements?	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts	XX out of	XX out of

Northern Panhandle CoC: FY2022 Renewal Project Application Scoring Criteria

Applicant: **GWCH**

Subrecipient: **None**

Project: **Supportive Services Only**

			2	100
	<b>4. Capacity:</b> If the applicant has received CoC funding in the past, have they had funds de-obligated in the last 5 years?	<b>No = 3 pts Yes = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 3	XX out of 100
<b>Design of Housing and Supportive Services</b>	<b>1. Racial Equity:</b> Does the project application include a description where the applicant has identified barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population, and has taken steps to eliminate barriers to improve racial equity?	<b>Yes = 7 pts No = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 7	XX out of 100
	<b>2. Lived Expertise:</b> Does the project application include a description of how persons with lived expertise are included in project design and development?	<b>No = 6 pts Yes = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 6	XX out of 100
	<b>3. Housing First:</b> Does the project serve clients who have the following vulnerability and severity of needs that may prevent them from entering housing: - Having too little or little income - Active or history of substance abuse - Having a history of a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions - History of victimization	<b>Yes = 4 pts (1pt for each vulnerability)</b>	XX out of 4	XX out of 100
	<b>3. Project Design:</b> Does the project application clearly articulate the program design proposed, including clear definition of eligibility for project applicants? Did the project include data generated from an HMIS that provides evidence of how the project will improve System Performance Measures?	<b>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 2	XX out of 100
	<b>4. Prioritization:</b> Does the project prioritize households with the longest time homeless and most severe service needs, as evidenced by active participation in the NPCoC Provider Committee meetings?	<b>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts</b>	XX out of 2	XX out of 100

*Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria*

Applicant: Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless

Subrecipient: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: DV Bonus: Joint Transitional Housing – Rapid Re-Housing

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<b>HUD Minimum Project Eligibility Threshold Criteria</b>	<b>1. Eligible Applicant:</b> Does the project recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) qualify as an eligible applicant per the requirements described in 24 CFR part 578 and include evidence of eligibility required in the application? Did the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) include their DUNS Number and UEI in the project application? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have an active registration in SAM?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>2. Organizational Capacity:</b> Does the project recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the proposed project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have an Accounting System?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>3. Certifications:</b> Did the project recipient respond to the required certifications as specified in the CoC Program NOFO (Certifications are part of the esnaps application and will be automatically completed by the recipient when the project is created in esnaps. Response is automatically generated for this criteria.)	Pass/Fail	Yes, see “Part 1: HUD Forms and Certifications” in esnaps project application
	<b>4. Eligible Populations:</b> Will the proposed project serve eligible populations as described at 24 CFR 578.3 and Section II.B.11.f of the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>5. HMIS:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) demonstrate they participate in the local HMIS? (However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS if the project is serving victims of domestic violence)	Pass/Fail	
If a new project does not score “PASS” in ALL of the criteria in this section, the application does not meet minimum HUD project eligibility threshold criteria and is NOT eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.			

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<p align="center"><b>HUD Minimum Project Quality Threshold Criteria</b></p>	<p><b>Joint TH-RRH – New Joint TH/PH-RRH component project applications must receive at least 4 out of the 6 points available for this project type. New Joint TH/PH-RRH component projects that do not receive at least 4 points will not pass this threshold criteria and will be rejected.</b></p> <p><b>1. Type of Housing (worth 1 point):</b> Does the type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, fit the needs of program participants (e.g. two or more bedrooms for families)?</p> <p><b>2. RRH and TH (worth 1 point):</b> Does the proposed project provide enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing? This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid rehousing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.</p> <p><b>3. Supportive Services (worth 1 point):</b> Will the type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants ensure successful retention or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source?</p> <p><b>4. Mainstream Benefits (worth 1 point):</b> Does the project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs, for which they are eligible to apply, and meets the needs of program participants (e.g. Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education)?</p> <p><b>5. Housing Retention (worth 1 point):</b> Will program participants be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g. provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, and additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing)?</p> <p><b>6. Housing First (worth 1 point):</b> Does the project adhere to a housing first model as defined in Section III.B.2.o of this NOFO?</p>	<p><b>X out of 6 Pass/Fail</b></p>	
	<p><b>1. Minimum Project Standards:</b> Does the project meet the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards?</p> <p><b>a.</b> Recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grants that are funded under the CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (as applicable), regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings (Not Applicable to applicants who have never received a CoC Program funded project); and</p> <p><b>b.</b> Project recipients must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timelessness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.</p>		<p><b>Pass/Fail</b></p>

If a new project does not score “PASS” in ALL of the criteria in this section, the application does not meet minimum HUD project quality threshold criteria and is NOT eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.

*Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria*

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<b>NPCoC Project Eligibility Minimum Threshold Criteria</b>	<b>1. Complete Application:</b> Is the project application complete? Was the project application submitted in accordance with the established deadline of August 29, 2022 at 11:59pm EST as described in the local competition announcement?	Pass/Fail	Email confirmation of project application receipt will be provided to the CoC Review and Rank Committee to make a determination if this criterion was met.
	<b>2. Good Standing:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have no Debarments and/or Suspensions? Has the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>3. Alignment with the NPCoC Strategic Plan:</b> Did the applicant, in their Letter of Intent and subsequent project application, describe how the proposed project aligns with the NPCoC’s Community Strategic Plan to End Homelessness?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>4. Matching Funds:</b> Does the applicant demonstrate secured and documented minimum match requirements?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>5. Sub-recipient Agreement:</b> Does the applicant agree to enter into a subrecipient agreement with the NPCoC Lead Agency, Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless? This question is not applicable to GWCH.	Pass/Fail (N/A if GWCH)	
	<b>6. Coordinated Entry:</b> Does the applicant and subrecipient participate in the Coordinated Entry System?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>7. NPCoC Membership:</b> Does the applicant and subrecipient have an active membership with the NPCoC?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>8. HMIS:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient participate in the HMIS database, or Comparable Database if a victim service provider? If not currently participating, did the applicant reach out to the HMIS Systems Administrator prior to the application deadline of August 29, 2022 at 11:59pm EST as indicated in the local announcement?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>8. Project Budget:</b> Does the project budget include a line item for HMIS and a line item for Admin? Is the Admin line 10% of the overall request for funding?	Pass/Fail	
If project does not score "PASS" in ALL of the criteria in this section, the project application does not meet minimum NPCoC threshold criteria for funding and is <u>NOT</u> eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.			
<p>Did the applicant’s project pass all of the three categories of minimum threshold criteria above (circle one)? <b>YES / NO</b></p> <p><b>If Yes, the project will be accepted and will continue on to Scoring Criteria</b></p> <p><b>If No, the project will be rejected and will not continue on to Scoring Criteria</b></p>			



**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
System Performance Measures	1. Does the project application list two goals, with corresponding measurable outcomes, that align with CoC System Performance Measures? (decrease in length of time homeless, decrease in returns to homelessness, increase in exits to permanent housing, increase in employment and income growth, and/or decrease in the number of persons homeless for the first time)?	Described two project outcomes that will improve SPM = 33 pts  Described one project outcome that will improve SPM = 16.5 pts  Does not describe project outcomes that will improve SPM = 0 pts	
	1. Does the project application indicate the recipient’s and subrecipient’s (if applicable) experience in working with the target population and developing and implementing relevant program systems and services?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
	2. Does the recipient or subrecipient have any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grant (including ESG)?	No = 5 pts Yes = 0 pts	
Project Design	1. <b>Racial Equity:</b> Does the project application include a description where the applicant has identified barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population, and has taken steps to eliminate barriers to improve racial equity?	Yes = 7 pts No = 0 pts	
	2. <b>Project Design:</b> Does the project application clearly articulate the program design proposed, including clear definition of eligibility for project applicants? Does the project application provide a clear description of how the housing or supportive services will be provided through the project including the type, scale, and geographic scope/location of housing/service provision? Did the project include data generated from an HMIS or comparable database for victim service providers that provides evidence of how the project will improve safety for victims of domestic violence?	Yes = 6 pts No = 0 pts	
	3. <b>Evidence-Based Approaches:</b> Did the project application include a description of how clients will be assisted to obtain and maintain permanent housing using trauma-informed and victim-centered care?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
	4. <b>Lived Expertise:</b> Does the project application include a description of how persons with lived expertise are included in project design and development?	Yes = 6 pts No = 0 pts	
	5. <b>Partnerships:</b> Does the project application provide a description that addresses how the project will coordinate with other organizations to help maximize the use of other mainstream and community-based resources when serving persons experiencing homelessness?	Clearly described multiple partnerships = 8 pts  Clearly described one partnership = 4 pts  Does not clearly describe partnerships = 0 pts	

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

<b>Timeliness</b>	1. Did the proposed project indicate a timeline for rapid implementation of the program, documenting when the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
<b>Financial Capacity</b>	1. Is the project budget provided clear and easy to understand? Does the budget reflect sound, adequate allocation of resources, matching the program components including staffing costs and operating costs?	Clear and adequate = 5 pts Unclear or inadequate = 0 pts	
	2. In accordance with the requirements listed in the local announcement, does the project budget include an Admin budget line item that is equal to 10% of the total request for funding?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
<b>CoC Criteria Needs/Gaps Analysis</b>	1. Does the proposed project fill a need or gap in the existing homeless system? Is the proposed project not duplicative of other mainstream resources or other CoC-funded projects?	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts	

The reviewer may assign partial points to each question if proposal partially addressed the question. The maximum points total available is 100.

\_\_\_ out of 100

*Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria*

Applicant: Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless

Subrecipient: None

Project Type: CoC Bonus: Dedicated Homeless Management Information System

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<b>HUD Minimum Project Eligibility Threshold Criteria</b>	<b>1. Eligible Applicant:</b> Does the project recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) qualify as an eligible applicant per the requirements described in 24 CFR part 578 and include evidence of eligibility required in the application? Did the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) include their DUNS Number and UEI in the project application? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have an active registration in SAM?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>2. Organizational Capacity:</b> Does the project recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the proposed project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have an Accounting System?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>3. Certifications:</b> Did the project recipient respond to the required certifications as specified in the CoC Program NOFO (Certifications are part of the esnaps application and will be automatically completed by the recipient when the project is created in esnaps. Response is automatically generated for this criteria.)	Pass/Fail	Yes, see “Part 1: HUD Forms and Certifications” in esnaps project application
	<b>4. Eligible Populations:</b> Will the proposed project serve eligible populations as described at 24 CFR 578.3 and Section II.B.11.f of the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>5. HMIS:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) demonstrate they participate in the local HMIS? (However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS if the project is serving victims of domestic violence)	Pass/Fail	
If a new project does not score “PASS” in ALL of the criteria in this section, the application does not meet minimum HUD project eligibility threshold criteria and is NOT eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.			

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<b>HUD Minimum Project Quality Threshold Criteria</b>	<p><b>HMIS – New HMIS project applications must receive at least 3 out of the 4 points available for this project type. New HMIS projects that do not receive at least 3 points will be rejected.</b></p> <p><b>1. Funding Strategy (worth 1 point):</b> Will HMIS funds be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC’s funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC’s HMIS implementation?</p> <p><b>2. Universal Data Elements (worth 1 point):</b> Does the HMIS collect all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards?</p> <p><b>3. Unduplicated Records (worth 1 point):</b> Does the HMIS have the ability to un-duplicate client records?</p> <p><b>4. Reporting Requirements (worth 1 point):</b> Does the HMIS produce all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g. APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.</p>	<p align="center"><b>X out of 4 Pass/Fail</b></p>	
	<p><b>1. Minimum Project Standards:</b> Does the project meet the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards?</p> <p><b>a.</b> Recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grants that are funded under the CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (as applicable), regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings (Not Applicable to applicants who have never received a CoC Program funded project); and</p> <p><b>b.</b> Project recipients must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timelessness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.</p>		<p align="center"><b>Pass/Fail</b></p>
<p>If a new project does not score “PASS” in ALL of the criteria in this section, the application does not meet minimum HUD project quality threshold criteria and is NOT eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.</p>			

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	PASS/FAIL (circle one)	NOTES
<b>NPCoC Project Eligibility Minimum Threshold Criteria</b>	<b>1. Complete Application:</b> Is the project application complete? Was the project application submitted in accordance with the established deadline of August 29, 2022 at 11:59pm EST as described in the local competition announcement?	Pass/Fail	Email confirmation of project application receipt will be provided to the CoC Review and Rank Committee to make a determination if this criterion was met.
	<b>2. Good Standing:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts? Does the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) have no Debarments and/or Suspensions? Has the recipient and subrecipient (if applicable) disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>3. Alignment with the NPCoC Strategic Plan:</b> Did the applicant, in their Letter of Intent and subsequent project application, describe how the proposed project aligns with the NPCoC’s Community Strategic Plan to End Homelessness?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>4. Matching Funds:</b> Does the applicant demonstrate secured and documented minimum match requirements?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>5. Sub-recipient Agreement:</b> Does the applicant agree to enter into a subrecipient agreement with the NPCoC Lead Agency, Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless? This question is not applicable to GWCH.	Pass/Fail (N/A if GWCH)	
	<b>6. Coordinated Entry:</b> Does the applicant and subrecipient participate in the Coordinated Entry System?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>7. NPCoC Membership:</b> Does the applicant and subrecipient have an active membership with the NPCoC?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>8. HMIS:</b> Does the recipient and subrecipient participate in the HMIS database, or Comparable Database if a victim service provider? If not currently participating, did the applicant reach out to the HMIS Systems Administrator prior to the application deadline of August 29, 2022 at 11:59pm EST as indicated in the local announcement?	Pass/Fail	
	<b>8. Project Budget:</b> Does the project budget include a line item for HMIS and a line item for Admin? Is the Admin line 10% of the overall request for funding?	Pass/Fail	
<b>If project does not score "PASS" in ALL of the criteria in this section, the project application does not meet minimum NPCoC threshold criteria for funding and is <u>NOT</u> eligible for inclusion in the CoC submission to HUD.</b>			
<p>Did the applicant’s project pass all of the three categories of minimum threshold criteria above (circle one)? <b>YES / NO</b></p> <p><b>If Yes, the project will be accepted and will continue on to Scoring Criteria</b></p> <p><b>If No, the project will be rejected and will not continue on to Scoring Criteria</b></p>			

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

CRITERIA TOPIC	SCORED CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>System Performance Measures</b>	1. Does the project application list two goals, with corresponding measurable outcomes, that align with CoC System Performance Measures? (decrease in length of time homeless, decrease in returns to homelessness, increase in exits to permanent housing, increase in employment and income growth, and/or decrease in the number of persons homeless for the first time)?	Described two project outcomes that will improve SPM = 33 pts  Described one project outcome that will improve SPM = 16.5 pts  Does not describe project outcomes that will improve SPM = 0 pts	
<b>Experience of the Applicant</b>	1. Does the project application indicate the recipient’s and subrecipient’s (if applicable) experience in working with the target population and developing and implementing relevant program systems and services?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
	2. Does the recipient or subrecipient have any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grant (including ESG)?	No = 5 pts Yes = 0 pts	
<b>Project Design</b>	<b>1. Racial Equity:</b> Does the project application include a description where the applicant has identified barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population, and has taken steps to eliminate barriers to improve racial equity?	Yes = 7 pts No = 0 pts	
	<b>2. Project Design:</b> Does the project application clearly articulate the program design proposed, including clear definition of eligibility for project applicants? Did the project include data generated from an HMIS that provides evidence of how the project will improve System Performance Measures?	Yes = 6 pts No = 0 pts	
	<b>3. Community Need:</b> Does the project application describe the role the project will serve in the local CoC and why CoC program funding is required?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
	<b>4. Lived Expertise:</b> Does the project application include a description of how persons with lived expertise are included in project design and development?	Yes = 6 pts No = 0 pts	
	<b>5. Collaboration:</b> Does the project application provide a description that addresses how the project will collaborate with other organizations, including an indication of HMIS training occurring regularly?	Clearly described collaboration = 8 pts  Does not clearly describe collaboration = 0 pts	
<b>Timeliness</b>	1. Did the proposed project indicate a timeline for rapid implementation of the program?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	

**Attachment 2: CoC Program – New Project Minimum Threshold and Scoring Criteria**

<b>Financial Capacity</b>	1. Is the project budget provided clear and easy to understand? Does the budget reflect sound, adequate allocation of resources, matching the program components including staffing costs and operating costs?	Clear and adequate = 5 pts Unclear or inadequate = 0 pts	
	2. In accordance with the requirements listed in the local announcement, does the project budget include an Admin budget line item that is equal to 10% of the total request for funding?	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	
<b>CoC Criteria Needs/Gaps Analysis</b>	1. Does the proposed project fill a need or gap in the existing homeless system? Is the proposed project not duplicative of other mainstream resources or other CoC-funded projects?	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts	
<p align="center"><b>The reviewer may assign partial points to each question if proposal partially addressed the question. The maximum points total available is 100.</b></p>			<b>___ out of 100</b>